



## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

January 16, 2007

### **H.R. 5** **College Student Relief Act of 2007**

*As introduced on January 12, 2007*

#### **SUMMARY**

H.R. 5 would amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 and make several changes related to the federal student loan programs. The bill would reduce certain interest rates, reduce payments to lenders, increase fees on lenders, and reduce the share of default collections retained by nonfederal guaranty agencies. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that those changes would reduce direct spending by \$65 million over the 2007-2012 period and by \$7.1 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

H.R. 5 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### **ESTIMATED COSTS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 5 is presented in the following table. The cost of this legislation falls within budget function 500 (education, training, employment, and social services).

#### **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

For this estimate, CBO assumes that H.R. 5 will be enacted before July 1, 2007.

	Millions of Dollars, by Fiscal Year											2017-	2007-
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2017
<b>CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING</b>													
Interest Rate Reductions													
Estimated Budget Authority	335	910	1,485	2,100	2,705	595	0	0	0	0	0	8,130	8,130
Estimated Outlays	200	635	1,140	1,670	2,205	1,295	0	0	0	0	0	7,145	7,145
Reductions in Lender Insurance													
Estimated Budget Authority	-475	-225	-230	-240	-255	-265	-270	-290	-305	-310	-320	-1,690	-3,185
Estimated Outlays	-405	-180	-200	-210	-220	-230	-240	-250	-260	-270	-285	-1,445	-2,750
Retention of Guaranty Agency Collections													
Estimated Budget Authority	-570	-155	-160	-170	-180	-185	-190	-200	-210	-215	-220	-1,420	-2,455
Estimated Outlays	-515	-130	-140	-145	-155	-160	-165	-175	-180	-190	-195	-1,245	-2,150
Reduction in Special Allowance Payments to Lenders													
Estimated Budget Authority	-470	-360	-380	-400	-415	-440	-460	-480	-500	-515	-530	-2,465	-4,950
Estimated Outlays	-395	-270	-325	-345	-360	-380	-395	-415	-435	-455	-475	-2,075	-4,250
Increased Loan Fees from Lenders													
Estimated Budget Authority	-470	-410	-430	-460	-480	-510	-530	-555	-585	-610	-630	-2,760	-5,670
Estimated Outlays	-385	-310	-375	-395	-420	-440	-460	-485	-510	-535	-560	-2,325	-4,875
Interest Payment Rebate Fee													
Estimated Budget Authority	-50	-15	-15	-15	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-135	-235
Estimated Outlays	-45	-10	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-20	-20	-20	-20	-115	-210
Interactions Among All Program Changes Listed Above													
Estimated Budget Authority	0	5	-5	-5	0	5	0	0	5	-10	0	0	-5
Estimated Outlays	0	-5	5	-5	0	0	-5	0	5	5	0	-5	0
Total Changes													
Estimated Budget Authority	-1,700	-250	265	810	1,355	-820	-1,470	-1,545	-1,615	-1,680	-1,720	-340	-8,370
Estimated Outlays	-1,545	-270	90	555	1,035	70	-1,280	-1,345	-1,400	-1,465	-1,535	-65	-7,090

As required under the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, the costs of student loans are estimated on a net-present-value basis rather than the cash basis used for most other federal programs. H.R. 5 would affect such credit reform estimates in several ways: by reducing interest rates charged on student loans, by reducing certain payments to private lenders of federally guaranteed loans, and by increasing certain fees paid to the government by such lenders.

## Interest Rate Reductions

Under current law, the interest rate charged borrowers for both subsidized and unsubsidized student loans is 6.8 percent for loans disbursed after June 30, 2006. Section 2 of H.R. 5 would

reduce the rate for subsidized loans in stages: for successive 12-month periods beginning in July 2007, the rates would be 6.12 percent, 5.44 percent, 4.76 percent, and 4.08 percent, respectively. For the July 2011-December 2011 period, the rate would be 3.4 percent. Beginning in January 2012, the rate would revert back to the 6.8 percent rate prescribed in current law. CBO estimates that \$7.1 billion in additional subsidy costs would result over the 2007-2012 period.

For guaranteed student loans, private lenders are paid interest based on a formula; if that rate exceeds what the borrower pays, the federal government is responsible for paying the difference between the two rates. When the lender formula produces a lower rate than the borrower pays, the lender must return the difference to the government. Consequently, any reduction in the borrower interest rate increases federal costs by increasing the payments made to lenders or by reducing the rebate lenders pay to the government. In the direct student loan program, the interest rate reductions always reduce federal receipts.

### **Reductions in Lender Insurance**

The bill would reduce the percentages that lenders receive when borrowers default on their loans in two ways: lowering the insurance rate from 97 percent of unpaid principal to 95 percent and eliminating the differential treatment (99 percent insurance) accorded to lenders defined as exceptional performers. CBO estimates that those changes would reduce outlays by \$1.4 billion over the 2007-2012 period and by \$2.8 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

The reduction in the lender insurance rate would apply for loans disbursed after June 2007, including new consolidation loans. CBO estimates the two-point reduction in the insured percentage would save \$0.9 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

Under current law, exceptional performers are insured at 99 percent rather than 97 percent. Based on information from the Department of Education, CBO estimates that, in any given year, about 45 percent of outstanding principal is held by lenders with that designation. The combination of sections 3 and 5 of H.R. 5 would reduce the insurance rate for those lenders from 99 percent to 95 percent. CBO estimates that the reduction for those lenders would save about \$1.8 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

### **Retention of Guaranty Agency Collections**

Under current law, nonfederal guaranty agencies are allowed to retain 23 percent of their collections on defaulted loans. H.R. 5 would reduce that percentage over time to 20 percent for

fiscal year 2008, 18 percent for fiscal years 2009 and 2010, and a rate—beginning in fiscal year 2011—that the Secretary of Education determines equals the average rate paid to collection agencies that have contracts with the Secretary. CBO estimates that reducing the retention rates would save \$1.2 billion over the 2007-2012 period and \$2.2 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

Based on its analysis of a similar proposal in the President’s budget request for fiscal year 2006 and information from the Department of Education, CBO estimates that the Secretary would determine a retention allowance rate of about 16 percent for fiscal years 2011 and beyond. That change would reduce the collections retained by 30 percent relative to current law.

### **Reduction of Special Allowance Payments to Lenders**

Under current law, private lenders receive payments from the government when the interest rate formula used to pay lenders would provide an interest rate higher than that which would apply to borrowers. Such payments are referred to as special allowance payments. The specific lender formulas are based on the 91-day commercial paper rate plus: 1.74 percent for loans when borrowers are in school, in the six-month grace period after leaving school, or in a deferment period (for example, for economic hardship); 2.34 percent when the borrower is repaying the loan; and 2.64 percent when the borrower has consolidated the loan or the borrower is a parent. H.R. 5 would lower those “add-ons” by 10 basis points or 0.1 percentage points, except for those loans held by lenders in the bottom 10 percent of lenders ranked by volume.

Based on total new loan volume in the guaranteed loan program that CBO projects will rise from nearly \$58 billion in 2008 to \$88 billion by 2017, CBO estimates that the reduced special allowance payments would lower federal spending by \$2.1 billion over the 2007-2012 period and \$4.3 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

### **Increased Loan Fees From Lenders**

Under current law, lenders pay the federal government 0.5 percent on each new loan (including consolidations). H.R. 5 would raise this fee to 1.0 percent. Based on its projections of loans to be disbursed over the projection period, CBO estimates that the increased fee would lower federal costs by \$2.3 billion over the 2007-2012 period and \$4.9 billion over the 2007-2017 period.

## **Interest Payment Rebate Fee**

Section 8 of the bill would increase the loan fee charged to lenders who hold consolidation loans. Under current law, lenders are required to pay the federal government 1.05 percent on the outstanding principal of the consolidation loans they hold. This provision would increase that fee to 1.30 percent for those lenders with consolidation loans comprising at least 90 percent of their total federal student and parent loan portfolio.

Based on information from the Department of Education on the concentration of consolidation loans among lenders, CBO estimates that the affected lenders hold about 5 percent of all consolidation loans. CBO estimates that charging the additional fee would lower federal loan costs by \$115 million over the 2007-2012 period and \$210 million over the 2007-2017 period.

## **INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT**

H.R. 5 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined by UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

### **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Costs: Deborah Kalcevic

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Lisa Ramirez-Branum

Impact on the Private Sector: Nabeel A. Alsalam

### **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Peter H. Fontaine

Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis